

## APPENDIX

<b>1 - ELECTRONIC REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2 - ALTERNATIVE VISUALISATION METHODS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 KILIM PLOT FROM SEO AND COLLEAGUES .....	5
2.2 SPIE CHART WITH EQUAL WEIGHT FROM DALY AND COLLEAGUES .....	6

## 1 – Electronic references

- e1. Understanding uncertainty: Florence Nightingale and the Crimean War.  
<http://understandinguncertainty.org/node/204> (accessed 28 Jan 2022).
- e2. Nightingale F, Farr W, Smith A. A Contribution to the Sanitary History of the British Army During the Late War with Russia. London, United Kingdom: John W. Parker and Son 1859.
- e3. Fagerlin A, Zikmund-Fisher BJ, Ubel PA. Helping patients decide: ten steps to better risk communication. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011;103:1436-1443.
- e4. Montori VM, Kunneman M, Brito JP. Shared decision making and improving health care: the answer is not in. *JAMA* 2017;318(7):617-618.
- e5. Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT, Altman DG (editors). Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.3 (updated February 2022). Cochrane, 2022.
- e6. Pillinger T, McCutcheon RA, Vano L, et al. Comparative effects of 18 antipsychotics on metabolic function in patients with schizophrenia, predictors of metabolic dysregulation, and association with psychopathology: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020;7(1):64-77.
- e7. Law M, Alam N, Veroniki AA, et al. Two new approaches for the visualisation of models for network meta-analysis. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2019;19(1):61.

- e8. Vancak V, Goldberg Y, Levine SZ. Guidelines to understand and compute the number needed to treat. *Evid Based Ment Health* 2021;24:131-136.
- e9. Veroniki AA, Bender R, Glasziou P, et al. The number needed to treat in pairwise and network meta-analysis and its graphical representation. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2019;111:11-22.
- e10. Cortese S, Adamo N, Del Giovane C, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of medications for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children, adolescents, and adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2018;5(9):727-738.
- e11. Hasselblad V, Hedges LV. Meta-analysis of screening and diagnostic tests. *Psychol Bull* 1995;117(1):167-78.
- e12. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;336:924.
- e13. Mazor K, Dodd K, Kunches L. Communicating hospital infection data to the public: A study of consumer responses and preferences. *Am J Med Qual* 2009;24(2):108-115.
- e14. Ellsberg D. Risk, ambiguity, and the savage axioms. *Q J Econ* 1961;75:643-669.
- e15. Camerer C, Weber M. Recent developments in modeling preferences: Uncertainty and ambiguity. *J Risk Uncertain* 1992;5(4):325-370.
- e16. Kuhn K. Communicating uncertainty: Framing effects on responses to vague probabilities. *Organ Behav Hum* 1997;71:55-83.


- e17. Viscusi W, Magat W, Huber J. Communication of ambiguous risk information. *Theor Decis* 1991;31(2):159-173.

## 2 – Alternative visualisation methods

### 2.1 Kilim plot from Seo and colleagues

To produce this plot, we used the R code published by Seo and colleagues

(<https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1428>, “Appendix S1”).



## 2.2 Spie chart with equal weight from Daly and colleagues

To produce these charts, we used the R code published by Daly and colleagues

(<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-020-01128-2>, "Additional file 1"). From top to

bottom, from left to right: placebo, treatment 1, and treatment 2.

