Glycine and D-serine improve the negative symptoms of schizophrenia


Q Are glutamate receptor agonist drugs effective for people with schizophrenia?

**METHODS**

**Design:** Systematic review with meta-analysis.

**Data sources:** Studies were identified using the Cochrane schizophrenia group’s trial register, BIOSIS Inside, CENTRAL, CINAHL, EMBASE, MEDLINE, and PsychINFO plus handsearches and contact with investigators.

**Study selection and analysis:** Eligible studies were double blind randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of NMDA, AMPA, or kainate glutamate receptor agonist (glutamatergic) drugs in people with schizophrenia, with a trial duration of more than two weeks. Randomised fixed effect models were used to carry out meta-analyses.

**Outcomes:** Global response (Clinical Global Impression scale (CGI)), Global Assessment Scale (GAS), negative symptoms (Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS); Scale for Assessment of Negative Symptoms), and cognitive deficiencies (PANSS cognitive subscale).

**MAIN RESULTS**

Eighteen RCTs met inclusion criteria. The glutamatergic drugs investigated were D-cycloserine (7 RCTs), glycine (7 RCTs), and D-serine (3 RCTs); all were used in combination with antipsychotic medication. Glycine and D-serine significantly reduced the negative symptoms of schizophrenia, but there was no significant difference between D-cycloserine and placebo (glycine or D-serine v placebo, n = 132, SMD = −0.66, 95% CI −1.02 to −0.29, p = 0.0004; D-cycloserine v placebo, n = 119, SMD = −0.11, 95% CI −0.48 to 0.25; p = 0.6). There was no significant improvement in cognitive deficiencies with glycine or D-serine, and no consistent evidence of improvement in global response compared with placebo (cognitive deficiencies: glycine or D-serine v control, n = 80, WMD = −2.79, 95% CI −6.17 to 0.60, p = 0.11; global response: glycine v placebo, dichotomous measures, n = 53, RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.15 to 1.49; p = 0.2; glycine v placebo continuous measure (GAS), n = 35, WMD = −3.87, 95% CI −7.69 to −0.05; p = 0.05; D-serine v placebo, continuous measure (CGI), n = 49, WMD = −0.89, 95% CI −1.87 to +0.09, p = 0.07).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Glycine and D-serine improve negative symptoms, but not cognitive deficiencies or global response in people with schizophrenia in the short term.

**NOTES**

All of these studies investigated short term treatment (<12 weeks) with glutamatergic drugs and may not be applicable to longer term treatment in people with schizophrenia.
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An extended commentary by Emmanuel Stip and Louis Eric Trudeau on this article can be found at http://www.ebmentalhealth.com/supplemental

Commentary

The enduring nature of cognitive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia represents an unmet therapeutic need. We recently suggested that the study of cognition and antipsychotics is not always driven by logic and that research into effective “pro-cognitive” or “anti-negative” drug treatments must be guided by a better understanding of the biochemical mechanisms underlying cognitive processes and deficits.

Many clinicians and researchers are currently considering augmentation strategies in an effort to improve the effectiveness of antipsychotics. Serious consideration has been given to agents such as D-cycloserine or amantadine that aim to enhance the function of the neurotransmitter glutamate in the brain. The hypothesis that a dysfunction of glutamatergic neurotransmission could play a key role in the deficits associated with schizophrenia is largely based on the discovery 40 years ago that drugs such as PCP and ketamine, which are now known to block NMDA-type glutamate receptors, were capable of inducing schizophrenia-like symptoms in humans. However, a further biological evidence supporting this theory is currently limited. The prospect of tinkering with the brain’s most abundant neurotransmitter may seem a little risky to some neuroscientists. Nonetheless, drugs that act as co-agonists at the NMDA receptors show some promise.

The meta-analysis by Tuominen et al found that positive symptoms failed to respond to pro-glutamatergic medication. However, two of these agents (glycine and D-serine) were found to produce modest decreases in negative symptoms. Since 2002, the search date of the Tuominen review, new trials of pro-glutamatergic drugs have been completed. An important multisite trial with 171 patients meeting the criteria for persistent negative symptoms was conducted using a random assignment to placebo, glycine, or D-cycloserine. The trial failed to confirm the efficacy of glycine or D-cycloserine to improve negative symptoms. The same team analysed a data set in this trial on cognition and event-related potential (ERP). There was no significant effect on objective measures of cognition. The only anomaly on ERP was an increase of the P-50 amplitude on glycine, relative to placebo usually related to the sensory gating. Interestingly, the classes of antipsychotic medication that the patient received during the augmentation did not affect the findings. What can be concluded from such contradictory results? One would be tempted to say “formerly, we were inductive, now we are not so sure.”
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