Review: reminiscence and life review are effective therapies for depression in the elderly


Q What are the effects of reminiscence and life review in people with late life depression?

MAIN RESULTS
Twenty studies met inclusion criteria (15 RCTs, five comparative studies). Participants had severe depressive symptoms in five studies. In the other 15 studies, participants were not enrolled due to depression per se but had mild or moderate symptoms. Fifteen studies examined reminiscence and seven studies life review. Reminiscence and life review significantly improved depressive symptoms compared with control (large overall mean effect size 0.84, p<0.001). The effect size was larger in people with severe depression compared with mild or moderate depression (d = 1.23 v d = 0.37). There were no significant differences between reminiscence therapy and life review.

CONCLUSIONS
Reminiscence and life review were effective for elderly people, with similar effect sizes to well established drug and psychological treatments.

NOTES
Most included studies were small (range 21–201 participants); only 4/20 studies were deemed to be high quality and dropout rates were high, four studies showing >25%. Also, there were insufficient data available to calculate long term effects.
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Commentary
Reminiscence therapy is, arguably, the only well developed intervention approach that can be said to be developmentally appropriate for older people. However, caution is needed in considering it as a unitary approach. Its diverse roots—ranging from psychodynamic therapy (the “life review”) to oral history, for example—lead to many varieties of activity being subsumed under the popular umbrella of reminiscence. There is no agreed treatment protocol, and little agreement regarding its aims. It has been applied to a variety of populations, including people with dementia, where the body of evidence on its effectiveness is small. In relation to depression in older people, reminiscence has performed better than other psychological therapies in a previous meta-analysis. The authors of the current review identify a number of relevant dimensions along which selected studies vary, including:

- modality (group v individual)
- diagnosis (participants with a diagnosis of depression, or high scores on a self-report depression scale v participants not selected on the basis of presence of depressive symptoms)
- type of reminiscence work (life review, involving a structured evaluative approach v unstructured reminiscence)
- place of residence (community v care home).

The review provides reassurance for the clinician that effect sizes were higher for those with clinical levels of depression (perhaps because of floor effects on depression scales in non-clinical samples). Unfortunately the process by which reminiscence assists in lifting depressed mood remains unclear. Life review, with its emphasis on evaluation, resolution of past conflicts, and dealing with emotionally charged material, provides a framework for change, but did not perform significantly better than unstructured reminiscence. However, several of the “life review” studies adopted a group approach, whereas some would argue life review must occur individually. Knight suggests that there is overlap between life review and classic approaches to grief work; it may be that with depression in later life often being concerned with loss, this provides a plausible therapeutic pathway. Knight also draws attention to possible negative outcomes, and future systematic reviews need to assess adverse effects as well as benefits.
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