Group psychoeducation reduces recurrence and hospital admission in people with bipolar disorder


QUESTION: Does group psychoeducation reduce recurrence in people from bipolar disorder?

Design
Randomised single-blind controlled trial.

Setting
Hospital clinic, Barcelona, Spain.

Participants
120 people aged 18–65 years with bipolar disorder Type I or Type II (DSM-IV criteria), in remission for at least 6 months and not undergoing current psychotherapy. Exclusion criteria included, other psychiatric comorbidities, deafness, IQ < 70; or enrolment in other studies.

Intervention
21 weekly sessions of group psychoeducation (identifying prodromal symptoms and encouraging adherence to treatment and a regular lifestyle), compared with unstructured group sessions. All groups included 8 to 12 people. All participants also received standard psychiatric care.

Main outcome measures
Recurrence - defined as a new acute episode according to DSM-IV criteria and scores on Young Mania Rating Scale; Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-17, hospitalisations, and length of hospital stay.

Main Results
At 2 years, group psychoeducation was associated with significantly fewer recurrences, hospitalisations and shorter hospital stays than unstructured group sessions (recurrence rate 67% v 92%, p < 0.01; mean number hospitalisations 0.3 v 0.8, p < 0.05; mean length of hospital stay: 5 days v 15 days, p < 0.005).

Conclusions
The intervention reduced recurrence and hospitalisation. Which aspect of the intervention was most effective remains unknown.

COMMENTARY
Bipolar disorders are common, severe mental disorders with a similar lifetime prevalence (1%) to schizophrenia. The mean relapse rate is 50% at one year and over 70% at four years. A recent prospective twelve year follow-up study showed that individuals with bipolar disorder were symptomatic for 47% of the time. This poor outcome in naturalistic settings suggests an efficacy effectiveness gap for mood stabilisers that has resulted in a re-assessment of the role of adjunctive psychological therapies in bipolar disorder.

Recent randomised controlled trials show that the combination of pharmacotherapy and about 20–25 sessions of an evidence-based manualised therapy such as individual cognitive behaviour therapy or family focused therapy may reduce relapse rates in comparison to a control intervention (mainly treatment as usual) in currently euthymic people with bipolar disorder. However, these approaches are labour intensive and require a high level of therapist expertise. The use of a group psycho-education programme may be an important, potentially more cost-effective alternative.

The study by Colom et al demonstrates that group psycho-education is equally acceptable to, but more effective than a non-directive group intervention provided for an equivalent period of time by the same therapists. As with family therapy, this effect was primarily a result of a significant reduction in depressive relapse. Although we do not know enough about mechanisms of action, differential efficacy or cost versus benefit of the different psychological approaches, we remain convinced that cutting edge intervention, family focused therapy and group psycho-education are needed to treat bipolar disease.
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