Toddler temperament, cognition and caregiver interaction predict impulsive functioning


QUESTION: What are the infancy and toddler-age precursors of inattention and impulsivity in school-age children?

Design
Longitudinal cohort study.

Setting
USA.

Participants
89 children participating in the Bloomington Longitudinal Study since infancy. Families were recruited using birth announcements when infants were 5–6 months old; 57% male; 51% first born.

Main outcome measures
Self regulatory competence at 8 years of age was measured using observation and laboratory tests of inhibitory control, behavioural control and attentional disengagement. Draw a Star Slowly and Matching Familiar Figures tests were used to assess inhibitory control. Task engagement and timing were monitored during a free play session to examine attentional disengagement. Behavioural control was assessed during restricted and unrestricted periods of a semi-structured work task.

Main results
Care-giver child interaction, child temperament and cognitive competence during infancy predicted variations in later impulsive functioning.

Conclusions
While child impulsivity is multidimensional, there are toddler-age precursors of later self regulatory competence.

COMMENARY
This is one of a series of papers from the Bloomington Longitudinal Study focusing on temperament as one of a range of biological, developmental and family influences on longer term behavioural outcomes. Earlier reports from this study at 6 and 24 months focused on child impulsivity. In this paper, child temperament, parenting factors and cognitive and linguistic factors influenced self-regulatory competence at 8 years. Multiple measures including parent ratings, observational methods and cognitive testing were used. ‘Impulsivity’ was divided into inhibitory control, behavioural control and disengagement. While correlations between measures across time were relatively few and modest in size, the authors found some predictive relationships, which supported their transactional model of development. Inhibitory control was predicted by cognitive competence, early attentional control and interactional factors in parenting behaviour. Behavioural control or disengagement (measured via task behaviours) related only to early cognitive competence. Overall, the data had modest levels of continuity and supported the significance of early attentional control for later self-regulatory competence.

Although this research uses a non-clinical, predominantly middle class sample, the findings support Barkley’s theory, developed from research with children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. This theory stresses the importance of ‘executive competence’ in early development for later self regulated behaviour and impulse control. The study therefore has implications not only for understanding normal development, but also shows where development processes can go wrong. From a clinical point of view, understanding the early precursors of self-regulation failure can help target intervention points. Nevertheless the modest predictive power of the precursors, even when researched with the detail and precision of this series, cautious against overconfidence in the antecedents of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. The authors were mindful of subdimensions of self-regulation and ‘executive competence’. Hence, this study makes a valuable contribution in emphasising that self-regulation is a multi-dimensional construct with various manifestations, diverse aetiologies and possible developmental pathways. It needs to be replicated in larger and more representative samples to account for the powerful influence of social determinants of child psychosocial health such as family structure and family supports; economic, educational and social disadvantage; parental mental and physical health, and community supports.

The capacity for what we call ‘self regulation’ is a significant influence on adaptive outcomes, but what exactly do we mean by self-regulation? In this research, the term was operationally defined and carefully measured. Across the psychological literature, however, the construct is interpreted in many different ways encompassing emotional, attentional, effortful, social, cognitive and linguistic functions, to name just a few. More carefully defined constructs are needed to facilitate measurement and the connection(s) to later outcomes. Is it possible, for example, to have poor attentional regulation (disengagement in this paper) and good emotional self-regulation, or are they inextricable? This is one of the many questions for further research.

Professor Margot Prior
Department of Psychology, University of Melbourne, Australia

Verbal stimulation (13–24 mths) 0.22 0.03 -0.31*  
Bayley Mental Scale (13–24 mths) 0.29* -0.16 -0.39*  
Observed disengagement (13–24 mths) -0.36* 0.20 0.25*  
Fussy / difficult (6 mths) 0.15 0.01 0.06  
Difficulty (13–24 mths) 0.02 0.06 0.14  
Re resisting control (13–24 mths) -0.22 0.01 0.09  
Observed difficultness (13–24 mths) -0.23 0.04 0.09  
Child characteristics  
Bayley Mental Scale (6 mths) 0.07 -0.25* 0.03  
Bayley Mental Scale (13–24 mths) 0.23* -0.16 -0.39*  
* statistically significant (p<0.05).