

Review: antidepressants are not effective for cocaine dependence

Lima MS, Reisser AA, Soares BG, et al. *Antidepressants for cocaine dependence*. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001;(4):CD002950 (latest version 27 Aug 2001).

QUESTION: In patients with cocaine dependence, is antidepressant drug treatment more effective than placebo for reducing cocaine use?

Data sources

Studies were identified by searching the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register, Medline (1966–2000), EMBASE/Excerpta Medica (1980–2000), LILACS (1982–2000), PsycLIT (1974–2000), Biological Abstracts (1982–2000), and the trials' registers of 3 Cochrane review groups; by reviewing book chapters on the treatment of cocaine dependence; and by contacting pharmaceutical companies and experts in the field.

Study selection

Studies were selected if they were randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that compared antidepressant drugs with placebo, other medication, or psychosocial interventions in patients with cocaine dependence (including those with an additional diagnosis of opioid dependence or in methadone maintenance schemes).

Data extraction

2 reviewers independently extracted data on setting; patient characteristics; intervention, including type of antidepressant and dose; study duration; study quality, including concealment and blinding; and outcomes, including cocaine metabolites in urine sample, dropouts, and side effects.

Main results

20 RCTs (1304 patients, age range 20–60 y) were included. 14 trials studied desipramine, 2 studied fluoxetine, and 1 RCT each studied ritanserin, gepirone, bupropion, and imipramine. Study duration ranged from 40 days to 6 months. Antidepressants did not differ from placebo for positive urine samples for cocaine metabolites, dropouts, or side effects (table).

Conclusion

In patients with cocaine dependence, antidepressants are not effective for reducing cocaine use.

*Antidepressants v placebo for cocaine dependence at 40 days to 6 months**

Outcomes for cocaine dependence	No of trials	Weighted event rates	RRR (95% CI)	NNT
Cocaine metabolites in urine sample	7	53% v 56%	6% (–30 to 32)	Not significant
Dropouts	8	45% v 49%	12% (–19 to 35)	Not significant
			RRI (CI)	NNH
≥1 side effect	2	59% v 32%	65% (–2 to 179)	Not significant
			RRR (CI)	NNT
Outcomes for opioid/ methadone maintenance				
Cocaine metabolites in urine sample	2	48% v 85%	56% (–193 to 93)	Not significant
≥1 side effect	1	38% v 44%†	16% (–156 to 74)	Not significant
			RRI (CI)	NNH
Dropouts	5	19% v 17%	44% (–37 to 227)	Not significant

*Abbreviations defined in glossary; RRR, RRI, NNT, NNH, and CI calculated from data in article using a random effects model.
†Event rates not weighted.

Sources of funding:
Institute of Psychiatry,
London, UK; World
Health Organization;
Universidade Federal
de Pelotas, Brazil.

For correspondence:
Dr M S Lima,
Universidade Federal
de Pelotas, Rio Grande
do Sul, Brazil.
mslima@nutecnet.com.br

COMMENTARY

Cocaine abuse remains a substantial public health problem in the US and throughout the world. Numerous studies in recent years have tested a long list of putative pharmacotherapies for cocaine abuse. Finding effective pharmacological agents has been a major focus of research funded by the US National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). 2 recent reviews from the Cochrane Collaboration by Lima *et al* and Soares *et al* focus on the most widely tested classes of medications: antidepressants and dopamine agonists.

Hopes were raised in the 1980s and early 1990s that antidepressants might be useful in reducing cocaine use, craving, or relapse. Clinical observations had shown that patients had substantial depressive symptoms during cocaine withdrawal. Preclinical research also showed that antidepressants modulate the same monoamine neurotransmitters that are affected by cocaine use. Early studies on antidepressants, particularly those involving the use of desipramine and fluoxetine, suggested that these agents might reduce cocaine use. However, later trials with these and with other antidepressants, such as bupropion, have been largely negative and have failed to fulfill the promise shown in early studies.

The review by Lima *et al* reaches a definite conclusion: antidepressants are ineffective for reducing cocaine use. This clearly negative finding was reached even though the authors did not include among the 20 RCTs in their analysis 2 other carefully done negative trials—those of Grabowski *et al*.¹

Although the results of studies with antidepressants in cocaine treatment appear to be overwhelmingly negative, one important question remains which was not addressed in the review—whether the presence or absence of major depressive disorder might influence treatment outcomes. It is reasonable to consider the possibility that antidepressants may have clinical value in depressed cocaine abusers even if they are ineffective for cocaine abuse in general. This question could be answered by future studies in which cocaine dependent patients are stratified before randomisation according to the presence or absence of major depressive disorder.

A second major direction taken in the search for medications to treat cocaine abuse involves the use of dopamine agonists, as reviewed by Soares *et al* in their analysis of 12 RCTs. Early optimism about dopamine agonists as effective pharmacotherapies for cocaine dependence was supported by the knowledge that cocaine produces euphoria and arousal because of acute inhibition of dopamine reuptake, although continued use leads to chronic dopamine depletion. Efforts were made to find dopamine agonists that might diminish cocaine craving and cocaine use. Early studies focused on bromocriptine and amantadine, and included some positive results, but more recent trials have been notably negative.

Continued on next page

Review: dopamine agonists are not effective for cocaine dependence

Soares BG, Lima MS, Reisser AA, et al. *Dopamine agonists for cocaine dependence*. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001;(4);CD003352 (latest version 27 Aug 2001).

QUESTION: In patients with cocaine dependence, are dopamine agonists effective for reducing cocaine use?

Data sources

Studies were identified by searching the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register, Medline (1966–2000), EMBASE/Excerpta Medica (1980–2000), LILACS (1982–2000), PsycLIT (1974–2000), Biological Abstracts (1982–2000), and the trial registers of 3 Cochrane Review Groups; contacting authors and pharmaceutical manufacturers; and reviewing book chapters on the treatment of cocaine dependence.

Study selection

Studies were selected if they were randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that compared dopamine agonists with placebo, other medications, or psychosocial interventions in patients with cocaine dependence (including those with an additional diagnosis of opioid dependence or those in methadone maintenance schemes).

Data extraction

2 reviewers independently extracted data on patient characteristics; study duration; setting; intervention, including dose and regimen; study quality; and outcomes, including positive urine sample for cocaine metabolites and treatment retention.

Main results

12 RCTs (587 patients) were included. Study duration ranged from 10 days to 12 weeks. 9 RCTs were done in outpatients in the community or in mental health centres, 1 RCT was done in day hospital patients, and 2 RCTs were done in inpatients. 1 small RCT (n=14) showed a reduction in dropouts for amantadine relative to bromocriptine; no other statistically significant difference was seen for any other outcome (table).

Conclusion

In patients with cocaine dependence, dopamine agonists are not effective for reducing cocaine use.

Sources of funding:
Institute of Psychiatry,
London, UK; World
Health Organisation;
Universidade Federal
de Pelotas, Brazil.

For correspondence:
Dr B G Soares, Centro
Cochrane do Brasile,
Sao Paulo, Brazil.
bgos@zaz.com.br

*Dopamine agonists (DAs) for cocaine dependence at 10 days to 12 weeks**

Outcomes for cocaine dependence	Comparisons (number of studies)	Weighted event rates	RRR (95% CI)	NNT
Cocaine metabolites in urine sample	DA v plac (2)	39% v 63%	49% (-114 to 88)	Not significant
	Amant v bromo (1)	29% v 57%†	50% (-68 to 87)	Not significant
			RRI (CI)	NNH
Dropouts	Amant v desi (1)	78% v 75%†	4.3% (-26 to 42)	Not significant
	DA v plac (5)	51% v 44%	14% (-9 to 43)	Not significant
			RRR (CI)	NNT (CI)
	Amant v desi (1)	83% v 84%†	2.1% (-26 to 27)	Not significant
	Amant v bromo (1)	29% v 86%†	67% (9.3 to 91)	2 (2 to 30)
			RRI (CI)	NNH
Cocaine metabolites in urine sample	Amant v plac (3)	80% v 78%	2% (-13 to 20)	Not significant
	Amant v desi (2)	97% v 61%	118% (-63 to 1193)	Not significant
Dropouts	Amant v plac (4)	37% v 35%	3% (-18 to 29)	Not significant
	Amant v desi (2)	36% v 21%	68% (-75 to 1041)	Not significant

*Amant=amantadine; bromo=bromocriptine; desi=desipramine; plac=placebo. Other abbreviations defined in glossary; RRR, RRI, NNT, NNH, and CI calculated from data in article using a random effects model.

†Event rates not weighted.

COMMENTARY—continued

Both of these Cochrane reviews are affected by the difficulties inherent in pooling the various studies of antidepressants and dopamine agonists, respectively. Particularly daunting are the limitations imposed by the heterogeneity of the patients in the different studies and by the variations in research methodology and outcome measures.

These 2 reviews, summarising 32 RCTs, leave clinicians with the very clear message that, as of yet, no medication offers a ready answer to the problem of cocaine addiction, and that patients are therefore best treated with non-pharmacological methods. Psychosocial approaches include interventions ranging from cognitive psychotherapy to behaviour therapy, such as contingency management. Clinical trials that provide material incentives for abstinence from cocaine² have shown generally far more robust decreases in cocaine use than have medications. For now, cognitive and behavioural treatments remain the standard care and treatment of choice for cocaine dependence.

Steven L Batki, MD

State University of New York, Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, New York, USA

- Grabowski J, Rhoades H, Elk R, et al. Fluoxetine is ineffective for treatment of cocaine dependence or concurrent opiate and cocaine dependence: two placebo-controlled double-blind trials. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1995;15:163–74.
- Higgins ST, Wong CJ, Badger GJ, et al. Contingent reinforcement increases cocaine abstinence during outpatient treatment and 1 year of follow-up. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2000;68:64–72.