Only 10% of people with schizophrenia show sustained improvements over 3 years

QUESTION

Question: What proportion of people with schizophrenia have favourable long-term outcomes, and which factors predict a more favourable outcome?

Population: 2527 adults treated for schizophrenia disorders or schizophrenia (DSM-IV) and participating in the United States Schizophrenia Care and Assessment Program (US-SCAP). The majority of participants were outpatients (98.5%).

Setting: Community mental health centres, community and state hospitals, university healthcare systems, and Department of Veterans Affairs Health Services, USA; from July 1997 to September 2003.

Prognostic factors: Sixty-two factors were assessed as possible prognostic variables, including patient-reported variables, clinician-rated variables and medical record based resource utilisation. Symptom severity was assessed at baseline and annually using the Positive and Negative Scale score. Acute healthcare utilisation (hospitalisation, emergency services) was assessed using medical records. Quality of life and functional outcomes (eg, volunteering, working for pay, attending school, caring for children and keeping house) were assessed (SCAP Health questionnaire, Global Assessment of Functioning, Quality of Life Scales) at enrolment and every 6 months. Depressive symptoms were assessed at enrolment and annually (Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale). Medication adherence and medication effects, including adverse events (Simpson-Angus Scale) and tardive dyskinesia (Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale) were assessed annually. Socio-demographic data were collected at baseline.

Outcomes: Sustained favourable long-term outcome. The health state of each participant, determined by hierarchical cluster analysis of symptom severity, level of functioning and use of acute care services, was identified at baseline, and annually thereafter. Participants were grouped into best, second best, middle, second worst, or worst health state clusters. Changes in health state cluster from year 1 to year 2, and year 2 to year 3 were determined. Sustained favourable long-term outcome was defined as being in the best health state cluster for 2 years postbaseline.

METHODS

Design: Retrospective analysis of data from a prospective observational study.

Follow-up period: 3 years.

MAIN RESULTS

Data from 1942 (83%) of participants were available for cluster analysis. At baseline, 503 participants were in the best health state cluster (25.9%), 992 in the second best (51.1%), 145 in the middle (7.47%), 55 in the second worst (7.25%) and 249 (12.8%) in the worst. Postbaseline data were available for 1635 participants (70%). At year 1, 269 participants were closest to best health state cluster (23%). From year 1 to year 2, 209 (15%) were closest to best health state cluster. A sustained favourable long-term outcome was achieved by 157 participants (10%). Over 3 years, 85% (n=688) did not have a sustained shift in health state, 10% (n=84) had improved and 4% (n=34) had worsened health states. Nine baseline variables were significantly associated with sustained favourable long-term outcome. The likelihood of a sustained favourable long-term outcome was associated with being employed (OR 1.98, 95% CI 1.34 to 2.91); ability to shop independently (OR 1.76, 95% CI 1.19 to 2.59); ability to undertake independent leisure activities (OR 1.75, 95% CI 1.10 to 2.79); experiencing clearer thoughts from medications (OR 1.21, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.40); better quality of life (OR 1.64, 95% CI 1.32 to 2.03); better global functioning (OR 1.04, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.06); and more daily activities (OR 1.27, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.52). The likelihood of experiencing a sustained favourable long-term outcome was lower in those who had received individual therapy (OR 0.47, 95% CI 0.25 to 0.88), or who had been a victim of crime (OR 0.38, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.85).

CONCLUSIONS

Most people with schizophrenia in naturalistic care settings have no sustained change in health status over 3 years. Better functioning on certain measures at baseline predicted sustained favourable outcome over this period.
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Cuyún-Carter et al report the findings of a 3 year extensive clinical study on patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders treated in routine clinical practice. A major objective of this study was to report on the treatment outcome and respective predictors. Apart from the large study sample, the most impressive issue is the multi-dimensional definition of outcome including symptoms, functioning and care utilisation. Based on this criteria, only 10% achieved a favourable and sustained outcome, which is somewhat lower than generally found in schizophrenia cases.1 Of various assessments, many baseline characteristics emerged as significant predictors which can be summarised as ‘favourable baseline characteristics predict favourable outcome’, a result representative for schizophrenia. In multiple logistic regression analysis, nine predictors remained significant and the authors conclude that this might enable individual treatment decisions. Unfortunately, many different predictors have been identified in several studies. However, so far, there are no set of valid predictors which enables individual prognosis.2 Among others, this is due to the approach of a multiple regression analysis which identifies predictors which best represent the analysed sample, however, this result cannot be generalised to other samples. Given the large sample in the present study, it would have been reasonable to primarily split and cross-validate the set of predictors identified in both samples separately. In addition, identifying individuals requires distinct criteria and cut-off points which do not seem feasible based on the study results (just small differences in the means between the favourable vs not favourable patients). Furthermore, the authors interpret predictors causally by concluding that training in role and occupational functioning might lead to favourable outcome not considering that this association might be caused by a third (moderator) variable (eg, neurophysiological factors which can hardly be affected by psycho-social treatment). Thus, the result of this comprehensive study in real-world clinical setting contributes data to the objective of individual prognosis and treatment decisions; however, more research is needed in this field.
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