Review: possible benefits from early intensive behavioural and developmental interventions in children with autism spectrum disorders, but more research needed

**QUESTION**

**Question:** Are early intensive behavioural and developmental interventions effective at enhancing developmental outcomes in children aged 12 and below with autism spectrum disorders (ASDs)?

**Outcomes:** Effects on core ASD symptoms and other symptoms commonly associated with ASDs, including cognitive functioning and behavioural challenges; harms/ adverse events.

**METHODS**

**Design:** Systematic review

**Data sources:** MEDLINE, PsycINFO and ERIC were searched from 2000 to May 2010. Additional articles were identified through hand searches of reference lists of all included articles and review of clinical trials related to therapies for ASDs.

**Study selection and analysis:** All study designs which assessed early intensive behavioural and developmental interventions were eligible for inclusion, except for single case reports. Studies had to be published during or after 2000, involved 10 or more participants aged 12 years or younger with a diagnosis of ASD and could include studies with children younger than 2 who were at risk for ASD.

**MAIN RESULTS**

Of 34 studies met inclusion criteria, 23 were classified as UCLA/Lovaas-based interventions (EIBIs), 4 as comprehensive interventions for younger children and 7 as parent-training protocols. **EIBI approaches:** The EIBI studies included one randomised controlled trial (RCT), three non-RCT, five prospective cohorts, two retrospective cohorts and six prospective and six retrospective case series. Eight of these studies were of fair quality. Of these, the RCT compared EIBI with parent training and found that the EIBI group gained on average 15 IQ points compared with relatively stable IQ in the parent-training group. IQs in both groups remained in the impaired range. The cohort studies and non-RCTs varied in the interventions and populations they assessed. They found varying results, with some studies showing improvements in outcomes such as IQ, adaptive behaviour skills and symptoms with EIBI approaches compared with other interventions but other studies not finding differences. Overall, the strength of evidence for EIBI effects on language, cognitive, education and adaptive outcomes, and ASD symptom severity was low. **Comprehensive approaches for children younger than 2 years old:** There were four studies of comprehensive interventions for younger children: one good quality RCT, one fair quality non-RCT and two prospective case series. The RCT found that the Early Start Denver Model intervention provided significantly larger improvements in IQ and adaptive behaviour but not in symptom severity scores or repetitive behaviours, compared with a less-intensive intervention. These findings had not yet been replicated. The strength of evidence for comprehensive interventions for children below the age of 2 years was insufficient. **Parent-training approaches:** The studies investigating parent-training interventions included three RCTs, one non-RCT and three prospective case series. Three of the four comparative studies were of fair quality. The RCTs found some evidence of short-term improvements from parent training in certain areas, such as language, social and adaptive skills. Long-term outcomes were not assessed. Studies lacked standardised measures, and some studies had baseline differences between groups. The strength of evidence for an effect of parent-training on changing core ASD deficit areas was insufficient.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Studies have indicated that there may be benefits from early intensive behavioural and developmental interventions in children with ASD. However, there are limitations to the strength of the available evidence, and more research is needed. There is no sufficient evidence to determine which specific interventions are the most effective for treatment of children with ASDs.
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