Bright light treatment an effective addition to antidepressants for non-seasonal depression


Q Does bright white light treatment improve symptoms of non-seasonal depression?

METHODS

- **Design:** Randomised controlled trial.
- **Allocation:** Not stated.
- **Blinding:** Single blinded (investigators were blind to group allocation).
- **Follow up period:** Five weeks.
- **Setting:** General practices in Copenhagen.

**Patients:** 107 people with non-seasonal major depressive disorder (DSM-IV); over 18 years old; scoring >13 on the Hamilton Depression Scale. Those with seasonal affective disorder, psychotic mental disorder, organic brain disorder, mental retardation, and pregnancy were excluded.

**Intervention:** The intervention consisted of five weeks of daily sessions of light treatment in the participant's home. Participants were advised to complete their session before 10:00 each morning, sitting at a distance of 40 cm with the head directed towards the light. The treatment sessions lasted for one hour a day (intensity: 10000 lux at 40 cm) while the placebo involved dim red light for 30 minutes a day (intensity: 50 lux at 40 cm). Participants in both groups were also given 50 mg/day of sertraline.

**Outcomes:** Symptoms of depression, measured on observer rated scales (including the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D-17) and the Hamilton 6-item subscale (HAM-D-6)), and self-assessment scales (the Major Depression Inventory (MDI), and the Symptom Check List (SCL-90R)). Quality of life measured with the Psychological General Well-being Scale (PGWB), and the WHO-Five (a subscale of PGWB).

**Patient follow up:** 90% completed the study.

MAIN RESULTS

The study found that bright light significantly reduced symptoms of depression on all observer rated scales (HAM-D-17 score at 5 weeks: 9.0 with bright light vs 11.6 with dim light; p<0.01, HAM-D-6 score at 5 weeks: 5.5 with bright light vs 7.0 with dim light; p<0.01). The study also found significant reductions at five weeks when depression was self-assessed (change in total score on SCL-90R from baseline: –0.71 with bright light vs –0.49 with dim light; p<0.05). There were significant differences in favour of bright light treatment in the obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity, paranoid, and psychotism dimensions of the scale, while somatisation, depression, anxiety, hostility, and phobic anxiety dimensions were not significantly different between treatments. Quality of life after five weeks was not significantly improved in the bright light group (change in PGWB score from baseline: +29.3 with bright light vs +23.3 with dim light; p>0.05). The study also concluded that response to treatment was quicker in the bright light group and remission was greater. These outcomes were measured on the HAM-D-17 scale.

CONCLUSIONS

For people with non-seasonal depression, bright light is an effective adjunct to antidepressants.

NOTES

Results that are based on measures using HAM-D-17 (response, remission, some observer rated depression results) are questionable as the study was underpowered for the use of this tool.

Commentary

Evidence supporting bright light’s efficacy to treat seasonal depression seems incontrovertible, but data on its efficacy for non-seasonal depression are inconsistent. According to a Cochrane review of randomised controlled trials on the efficacy of bright light, the treatment is generally more effective than placebo when used as an adjunct to pharmacotherapy for non-seasonal depression. However, many of the studies reviewed did not yield significant results. This observation is echoed in a meta-analysis, finding bright light significantly reduced the severity of depression among patients with seasonal depression (d=0.73) and among those with non-seasonal depression (d=0.53). However, bright light administered concomitantly with antidepressants to treat non-seasonal depression was not as effective (d=–0.01).

Inconsistent results observed from adjunctive bright light studies may be explained by insufficient data, inadequate study designs, and heterogeneity in methodologies. Martiny’s study addressed many of the concerns raised in these reviews. Indeed, it is one of a handful of studies showing significant effects of bright light as an adjunctive therapy for non-seasonal depression. The fact that a greater number of people were enrolled and studied over a longer period of time than in previous trials is a particular strength of the current study. However, the people in the control group received dim light for only 30 minutes, compared with the experimental group who received bright light for one hour—a confounder which the author did not address. Standardisation of all procedures would have contributed to the scientific rigor of the study. Monitoring changes in endogenous melatonin, which were probably commensurate with treatment efficacy, would have been informative. In light of recent data, it would have been helpful to ascertain whether any participants had existing eye diseases, as this could diminish their response to light therapy. Ophthalmic dysfunctions might weaken light’s ability to promote positive mood.
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