Intensive intervention for fear of childbirth did not reduce requests for caesarean section but decreased duration of vaginal labour


QUESTION: In pregnant women with a fear of vaginal delivery, is intensive treatment more effective than conventional treatment for reducing requests for caesarean section?

Design
Randomised [allocation not concealed]*†, blinded [clinicians and data analysts]*†,* controlled trial with follow up 3 months postpartum.

Setting
Outpatient clinic of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland.

Patients
176 pregnant women referred for fear of vaginal delivery (mean age 32 y, mean 25 wk gestation, 51% nulliparous) who were at low obstetric risk and physically healthy. Exclusion criterion was a contraindication to vaginal delivery. Follow up was 100%.

Intervention
Women were allocated to intensive (n=85) or conventional (n=91) treatment. Intensive treatment consisted of provision of information and conversation regarding previous obstetric experiences, feelings, and misconceptions; routine obstetric check ups; and cognitive treatment. A midwife appointment and visits to the obstetric ward were recommended for information about pain relief and possible interventions during labour and delivery. Conventional treatment consisted of standard information and routine obstetric check ups. Both groups received written information about the pros and cons of vaginal delivery and caesarean section, and pain relief offered at the hospital.

Main outcome measures
Reduction in requests for caesarean section at last obstetric appointment before delivery and duration of vaginal labour.

Main results
Analysis was by intention to treat. At baseline, 58 women (68%) (32 multiparous) in the intensive treatment group and 59 women (65%) (35 multiparous) in the conventional treatment group requested a caesarean section. In these women, groups did not differ for reduction in requested caesarean sections at the last obstetric appointment for all women and when analysed by parity (table). Mean duration of vaginal labour was shorter in the intensive group than in the conventional group (6.8 h v 8.5 h, p=0.04).

Conclusions
In pregnant women with a fear of vaginal delivery, intensive and conventional treatment groups did not differ for reduction in requested caesarean sections at last obstetric appointment before delivery. Mean duration of vaginal labour was shorter in the intensive treatment group.

Intensive v conventional treatment for fear of vaginal delivery at the last obstetric appointment before delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>C-section request</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
<th>RRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>22% (23 to 51)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparous</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>3.8% (51 to 125)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiparous</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>33% (17 to 63)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI (CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMMENTARY
Saisto et al have undertaken an important study attempting to reduce fear of childbirth. 6–10% of women report fear sufficient to lead to somatic complaints and poor concentration.1 Maternal anxiety affects fetal well being2 and increases the rates of caesarean section and postnatal depression.

Although the more intensive treatment (five 45 min sessions) with an obstetrician trained in cognitive therapy plus 90 minutes with a midwife did not reduce requested caesarean section rates more than conventional treatment (two 45 min sessions with the obstetrician), duration of labour was approximately 20% shorter in the intensive treatment group. Birth related concerns in the intensive treatment group may be reduced between baseline and before childbirth, but follow up for this outcome was <80%.

Saisto et al showed a reduction in caesarean requests with just 2 sessions from an obstetrician, and extra input did not seem to bring any further reduction in such requests. Women receiving intensive treatment reported less fear of their obstetrician. This was, however, of borderline significance (p=0.054), and follow up was <80%. The childbirth experience was positive in both groups, although follow up for this outcome was <80%.

This study by Saisto et al showed that attention and concern from an attendant during pregnancy can have a positive effect on childbirth similar to interventions during labour. Similar results should be possible elsewhere in the world, although it is not clear whether training in cognitive treatment is critical, nor whether the counselling could be as well done by a midwife. I hope studies such as this will help to humanise care in pregnancy and childbirth by showing that the use of technology is not the only way forward.
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