Adverse effects of antidepressants during pregnancy
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INTRODUCTION

This is the fourth of a series of evidence-based case conferences. The main aim of this new series of papers is to help clinicians learn and apply the evidence-based approach in their own clinical practices. The clinical question that we seek to solve in each of this series emanates from a real clinical case. I have completely anonymised the scenario but I still hope it remains as real as it was. The presentation in this series may appear too brief and rushed but this is how EBM can be practiced by busy clinicians once you are familiar with the process. This issue’s clinical question is about tolerability of drug treatments in a special population (pregnant women). The case conference below illustrates how to critically appraise and apply an article about adverse events. We anticipate that the next case conference (fifth of the series) will focus on how to use a systematic review for a treatment decision.

CLINICAL CASE

Patient

A 32-year-old woman.

Present illness

The patient suffered from her first major depressive episode after giving birth to her first baby at the age of 25. The episode lasted for 2 months and subsided without any treatment. However, when she had her second baby at the age of 28, depression recurred and this time she visited her family doctor for treatment. She was prescribed sertraline 50 mg/day, to which she responded well. However, taking care of two small boys at home was not easy and she had never fully recovered from her second depressive episode. Her mother was diagnosed with malignancy and a third major depressive episode set in. Patient’s dose of sertraline was gradually increased to 150 mg/day over a period of 2 months with some benefit and was kept at that level since then.

Present status

On the maintenance treatment with sertraline 150 mg/day, she was subsyndromally depressive with residual fatigability and loss of confidence. However, she managed to remain relatively functional in her role as mother and wife. The family doctor saw her every 6 weeks. At one of such planned visits, she appeared anxious and told the doctor that she was pregnant again. She had visited an obstetrician, who confirmed that antidepressants overall do not seem to be associated with increased risk of congenital malformations, except possibly for cardiovascular ones. That night she had a good discussion with her husband, who said that he would ultimately value her judgement but that he himself was against abortion and was willing to help her even more should they decide to continue with the pregnancy. She now asks for more information about the effects of antidepressants during pregnancy and cardiovascular malformations such as ventricular septal defects, atrial septal defects, tetralogy of Fallot, etc.

FORMULATE YOUR CLINICAL QUESTION

 Patients: Pregnant women with depression
 Intervention: Antidepressants
 Comparison: No treatment/placebo
 Outcomes: Cardiovascular malformation

LITERATURE SEARCH

Searching for strong evidence on adverse effects is often not straightforward, because ethically randomised experiments cannot be conducted to examine causation of bad outcomes (information about harms in randomised controlled trials is often underpowered, sketchy and unsystematic) and therefore we often have to rely on observational studies for the necessary information. Searching for high-quality observational studies and then critically appraising them is not easy.

One good portal for high-quality observational studies is PubMed Clinical Queries. You select Category: Etiology with Scope: Narrow (if there appear to be too many candidates) or Scope: Broad (if there appear to be too few). The MeSH terms for pregnancy is ‘Pregnancy’ and that for antidepressants is ‘Antidepressive agents’; entering both and selecting Etiology-Narrow, you immediately noticed that there was one very recent large study on this clinical question: Huybrechts KF, Palmsten K, Avorn J, et al. Antidepressant use in pregnancy and the risk of cardiac defects. N Engl J Med 2014;370:2397–407.
ARE THE RESULTS AT RISK OF BIAS?
Were patients similar for prognostic factors known to be associated with the outcome (or was statistical adjustment done)?
YES. The study was a cohort study nested in the nationwide Medicaid database and included approximately one million pregnant women. The crude comparison between pregnant women taking antidepressants and those not taking them were statistically adjusted first for the presence of depression diagnosis, and further with propensity scores representing depression severity and other possible confounders.

Were the circumstances and methods for detecting the outcome similar?
YES. The data came from the nationwide database. The surveillance for cardiac malformations may have been more intense for women taking antidepressants but this factor too would have been statistically adjusted as above.

Was the follow-up sufficiently complete?
YES. The outcome (cardiac malformations) was taken from the maternal and infant records during the first 90 days after delivery. Major malformations should have been recorded.

WHAT ARE THE RESULTS?
What is the risk ratio or OR and its 95% CI?
The unadjusted OR of 1.25 (95% CI 1.15 to 1.36) became 1.02 (95% CI 0.90 to 1.15) in the analyses restricted to women with depression and stratified by propensity scores. There was no substantial difference in estimated ORs among different antidepressants.

What is the corresponding number needed to harm (NNH) and its CI?
The control event rate for cardiac malformations was 6403 affected infants among 885 115 women with no antidepressant exposure, or 0.073%. The OR above would then correspond with NNH of 5500 (95% CI 3800 to 9100) for the crude comparison, and 69 000 (95% CI $-\infty$ to $-14 \times 10^3, 9000$ to $\infty$) for the adjusted comparison.

HOW CAN I APPLY THE RESULTS TO PATIENT CARE?
Is your patient so different from those included in the study that the results may not apply? The study cohort was around 25 years of age, and mostly Caucasian; on the other hand, the patient in the case vignette is 32 and Japanese. However, the study showed no effect of age or race on the association between antidepressant exposure and malformations. We can therefore expect a similar OR and NNH for this patient.

Are there important differences in exposures (dose, duration, etc) for your patients? The patient is on a relatively high dose of sertraline. However, according to the current study there was no dose response relationship between antidepressants and cardiac malformations (as one would expect if the compound itself is not harmful).

What is the balance between benefits and harms for patients like yours?
The patient suffers from recurrent depression which is currently in partial remission on a relatively high dose of sertraline. Stopping the maintenance antidepressant doubles the risk of recurrence3: for this recurrent, only partially remitted woman...
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